

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/626,368 | 07/24/2003 | William E. Slack | PO7865/MD-02-02B | 1231 | |
| 157 75 | 90 11/03/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205 | | | PUTTLITZ, KARL J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1621 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006 | DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,368

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

The rejection under section 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the amendments clarifying the secondary monoamine group-containing compound.

The prior art rejections are maintained and repeated below. Applicant's remarks in connection with this ground of rejection are also addressed. The examiner clarifies that the below rejection over Woerner is under section 103.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent No. 3,903,126 to Woerner et al. (Woerner).

The claims cover, inter alia, a stable liquid biuret modified toluene diisocyanate comprising a secondary amine based biuret modified toluene diisocyanate having an NCO group content of 16 to 46% by weight, comprising: (a) a secondary monoamine group containing compound which may be aliphatic, aromatic or araliphatic; and (b) toluene diisocyanate having an NCO group content of about 48.3% and comprising: (i) from 0 to 40% by weight of 2,6-toluene diisocyanate, and (ii) from 60 to 100% by weight

Application/Control Number: 10/626,368

Art Unit: 1621

weight of (b).

of 2,4-toluene diisocyanate, wherein the %'s by weight of (b)(i) and (b)(ii) total 100% by

The claims also cover thoise embodiments further comprising an aliphatic or aromatic alcohol. See claim 11.

Woerner teaches the preparation of biuret-containing polyisocyanates from biuret and, specifically, isomer mixtures of toluene-2,4-diisocyanate and toluene-2,6-diisocyanate. See column 3, lines 30-34. The examiner notes that the use of monoamines is contemplated by the reference, see column 1, line 24, and is therefore within the motivation of those of ordinary skill.

Suitable inert diluents include ethyl glycol. See column 3, line 66. Therefore, the claimed toluene diisocyanates are well within the motivation of those of ordinary skill.

Applicant argues that the reference does not teach secondary monoamines.

However, as noted above, the reference demonstrates that use of secondary monoamines was routine in the art. Since this reference teaches the secondary monoamines with sufficient particularity, this aspect of the invention is, therefore, prima facie obvious.

The rejection to claims 11-15 is withdrawn since the reference fails to teach or suggest the required alcohol.

The rejection over JP 893 is withdrawn since this reference fails to teach or suggest the required secondary monoamine.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,368

Art Unit: 1621

Accordingly, claim 4 is objected to and claims 11-15 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached at telephone number (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl J. Puttlitz Assistant Examiner

> Thurman K. Page Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1621

Technology Center 1600

571-272-0602